Anaerobic digestion of food waste to methane at various organic loading rates (OLRs) and hydraulic retention times (HRTs): Thermophilic vs. mesophilic regimes
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ABSTRACT

Generation of food waste is a serious issue that needs to be addressed worldwide. Developing suitable treatment methods while generating energy (methane) is a common practice for sustainable treatment of waste. In this study, methane generation by food waste was investigated in mesophilic and thermophilic regimes at various hydraulic retention times (HRTs) and organic loading rates (OLR). In temperature regimes, influent concentrations and HRTs ranged from 30 to 110 g COD/L and 18 to 30 days, respectively, which corresponding to an OLR of 1.0 to 6.1 kg COD/m\(^3\)-d. Better methane production and organic removal was observed under thermophilic conditions because of the enhanced hydrolysis of complex polymers and microbial activity at higher temperature. The peak methane productivities attained in thermophilic and mesophilic regimes were 1.30 and 0.99 m\(^3\)/m\(^3\)-d, respectively. The maximum methane yields were achieved at 50 g COD/L and HRT of 24 d in both cases, and the values were 264 and 221 m\(^3\)/ton COD, respectively. The results of this study will facilitate the development of sustainable methane production technologies using food waste as a feedstock.
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1. Introduction

The 3R (Reduce, Reuse and Recycle) concept of waste management has recently received a great deal of attention because of the generation of enormous amounts of solid/agricultural/municipal wastes [1]. Global food waste (FW) generation is immense because of the increasing population and consumption by humans. According to the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO), every year about one third of food created for consumption is wasted worldwide. However, the FW in developing countries and developed countries clearly differs [2]. For developing countries, over 40% of FW occurs after harvest and during processing, while in developed countries, over 40% occurs as a result of retail and consumption behavior. FW is officially defined as material composed of raw or cooked food that is thrown out during the entire process, from manufacturing to disposal. FW can be classified into groups depending on the original source, chain of food consumption, and physical-chemical characteristics [3].

Anaerobic digestion has long been applied to degrade organic matter efficiently and produce methane as a product. This process is a well established technology that transforms the organic fraction into renewable fuels such as methane, especially for slaughterhouse waste, municipal waste and agricultural wastes [4, 5]. Methane can be used for various purposes such as direct combustion, generation of electricity, fuel for vehicles and other applications [6-8]. In general, the conversion of FW to methane generation via anaerobic digestion is a complex process, and many reports have indicated that hydrolysis and methanogenesis are the rate-limiting step in anaerobic digestion because of the accumulation of undesirable volatile fatty acids [9-11]. Nevertheless, controlling the major reactor operational parameters such as organic loading rate (OLR), temperature, and hydraulic retention time (HRT) can improve the process efficiency and stable methane generation. Previous studies reported that FW can be effectively converted to methane under mesophilic and thermophilic regimes [12, 13]. However, limited
studies have focused on long term operations to assess bioreactor stability. Thus, in this study, the long-term anaerobic digestion of food waste (nearly 380 days) was evaluated under various (OLRs), (HRTs) and temperature regimes (mesophilic and thermophilic).

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Inoculum and Feedstock

Seed sludge was collected from a mesophilic anaerobic sludge digester in a local wastewater treatment plant. The pH, total sludge solids (TS), and volatile sludge solids (VS) concentration of the sludge were 7.5, 26.7 g/L, and 18.9 g/L, respectively. The sludge was stored at 4°C in a refrigerator until being used in the experiments. The same seed sludge was used for both mesophilic and thermophilic experiments.

The food waste was collected from a cafeteria located on the campus of Daegu University. The collected food waste was ground using a blender to obtain a homogenous mixture, then stored at 4°C in a refrigerator. The characteristics of the food waste were as follows: total solids (TS) 158±39 g/L, volatile solids (VS) 151±37 g/L, total chemical oxygen demand (COD) 157±61 g/L, soluble COD 47±17 g/L, total carbohydrate 99±28 g/L as glucose, total suspended solids (TSS) 68±48 g/L, volatile suspended solids (VSS) 67±50 g/L, pH 4.5±1.0, g carbohydrate 54±19 g/L as glucose, total suspended solids (TSS) 68±48 g/L, total chemical oxygen demand (COD) 157±61 g/L, soluble COD as glucose, total suspended solids (TSS) 68±48 g/L, volatile suspended solids (VSS) 67±50 g/L, pH 4.5±1.0, g carbohydrate 54±19 g/L as glucose, total suspended solids (TSS) 68±48 g/L, volatile suspended solids (VSS) 67±50 g/L, pH 4.5±1.0.

2.2. Continuous Methane Production from Food Waste

Methane fermentation was conducted in continuously stirred tank reactors with a working volume of 7 L (thermophilic) and 3.6 L (mesophilic), respectively. Four different HRTs were evaluated in regimes, 18, 20, 24 and 30 d. The feed and draw was once a day with continuous agitation of 100 rpm. The feedstock concentration was adjusted to 30-110 g COD/L by dilution of the food waste with distilled water, which corresponds to an OLR of 1-5.5 g COD/L/d. No additional nutrients were supplied throughout the operation period.

2.3. Specific Methanogenic Activity

The specific methanogenic activity (SMA) of the biomass from both mesophilic and thermophilic reactors was measured as previously described [12] using batch serum vials. The biomass (methanogenic microflora) was collected from each operation condition and utilized for evaluation of SMA. Biomass of 0.6-0.8 g VSS was checked for a specific substrate (2 g COD/L) of glucose, acetate and propionate.

Table 1. Production Performances at Various HRTs and OLRs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conditions</th>
<th>Mesophilic</th>
<th>Thermophilic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Influent (COD/L)</td>
<td>30 50 50 80 110 110 110 30 50 50 80 110 110 110</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OLR (kgCOD/m^3-d)</td>
<td>1.0 1.7 2.1 3.3 4.6 5.5 6.1 1 1.7 2.1 3.3 4.6 5.5 6.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HRT (d)</td>
<td>30 30 24 24 24 20 18 30 30 24 24 24 20 18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performance</td>
<td>MPR* (m^3/m^2-d)</td>
<td>0.20 0.36 0.46 0.52 0.78 0.95 0.99 0.22 0.36 0.56 0.84 1.13 1.30 1.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MY* (m^3/ton COD)</td>
<td>198 202 221 156 171 172 162 222 214 264 251 247 236 213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>COD removal (%)</td>
<td>54 74 73 79 84 86 85 61 76 78 84 85 87 86</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*MPR, methane production rate, MY, methane yield

2.4. Analysis

Biogas production was measured using water displacement equipment connected to each reactor, and then corrected to the standard temperature and pressure (0°C and 1 atm). CH4, N2 and CO2 contents in the biogas were analyzed by gas chromatography (GC, SRI 310, SRI Instrument) using a thermal conductivity detector and a 1.8 m × 3.2 mm stainless-steel column packed with mole sieve 5A (SRI Instrument) and a 0.9 m × 3.2 mm stainless-steel column with Porapak Q (80/100 mesh, SRI Instrument). Organic acids were analyzed by high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC, YL9100 series) using an ultraviolet detector (210 nm) and a refractive index detector with a 300 mm × 7.8 mm Aminex HPX-87H ion exclusion column with 0.005 M H2SO4 as eluent. Solids, COD, and alkalinity were measured according to the Standard Methods [14]. All other analyses were conducted as described in our earlier studies [15-17].

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Biogas Production at Various HRTs and OLRs

The estimated generation of biogas production and its methane content is an important parameter to consider when designing pilot scale or industrial level anaerobic digesters [18, 19]. Various types of organic feedstock have been used such as cattle manure, swine manure, food waste and empty palm fruit bunches, and their co-digestion has been studied for the generation of methane as an energy source. The methane production performance at various HRTs and OLRs is shown in Fig. 1 and Table 1.

The influent concentration was examined at 30, 50, 80 and 110 g COD/L, while the OLRs were 1, 1.66, 2.08, 3.33, 4.58, 5.5 and 6.1 kg COD/m^3-d based on the HRTs, which were set at 30, 24, 20 and 18 d under both mesophilic and thermophilic conditions. As shown in the table, production performance gradually increased as the OLR increased. This likely occurred because of adaptation of the methanogens to the reactor environment and the substrate. The production performances revealed that the peak methane productivity attained was 1.30 m^3/m^3-d, while the HRT was 20 and 18 days and the OLR was 5.5 and 6.1 kg COD/m^3-d (influent concentration of 110 g COD/L) under thermophilic conditions.
respectively. However, the corresponding high yield of 264 m³/ton COD was achieved at HRT of 24 d with an OLR of 2.08 kg COD/m³-d. This could be attributed to the better COD removal of 78% and lower influent COD of 50 g COD/L. The production rates ranged from 0.20 to 1.30 m³/m³-d and the yields ranged from 156 to 264 m³/ton COD, respectively.

3.2. Thermophilic vs Mesophilic Regime-Process Performance
Thermophilic digestion of the organic fractions to methane has recently received a great deal of attention owing to its better hydrolysis rates and improved sanitary conditions [20-23]. In this study, the performance of the reactor was enhanced under thermophilic conditions (Table 1 and Fig. 1). The MPR ranged from 0.20 to 0.99 m³/m³-d under mesophilic conditions and 0.22 to 1.30 m³/m³-d under thermophilic conditions, respectively. A peak MY of 264 m³/ton COD was attained under thermophilic conditions and an OLR of 2.1 kg COD/m³-d, influent concentration of 50 g COD/L and HRT of 24 d. Under mesophilic conditions, a peak MY of 221 m³/ton COD was achieved at the same influent concentration (50 g COD/L), OLR and HRT, respectively. The COD removal range was 54-85% for mesophilic and 61-86% for thermophilic conditions. Based on these results, reducing the HRT significantly enhanced the production performance, as mentioned in previous studies [22, 23]. However, stable or similar production performance was observed at a HRT of 24 d, although the yield decreased slightly (from 172 to 162 m³/ton COD under mesophilic conditions; 213 from 236 m³/ton COD under thermophilic conditions). This could mainly be attributed to volatile fatty acid generation and lower COD removal efficiencies.

3.3. Specific Methanogenic Activity of the Inoculum
Fig. 2 (a and b) compares the specific methanogenic activity (SMA) under mesophilic (a) and thermophilic (b) conditions.

Fig. 1. Daily variation of methane production performance under mesophilic (a) and thermophilic (b) conditions.

Fig. 2. Specific methanogenic activity (SMA) under mesophilic (a) and thermophilic (b) conditions.
waste showed enhanced activity of SMA and more affinity towards acetate than propionate, which was accompanied by propionate accumulation during the operation. However, the activity was quite similar to that of the mesophilic microflora, whereas increasing OLR resulted in decreased SMA. The peak SMA of 185.2 mL CH₄/g VSS-d was attained during the OLR of 2.1 kg COD/m³-d using acetate as the precursor. In contrast to the mesophilic digestion, during the high OLRs the SMA showed more affinity towards glucose precursor, with peak SMA values of 63.7 and 32.8 mL CH₄/g VSS-d being observed for OLRs of 3.3 and 5.5 kg COD/m³-d, respectively. Thermophilic digestion improved the SMA and production performance when food waste was used as substrate.

3.4. Volatile Fatty Acids (VFA) Production

The volatile fatty acid production profile is shown in Fig. 3 (a) and (b). The production of propionic acid was higher under mesophilic conditions, whereas acetate was dominant under thermophilic conditions at OLRs of 4.6-6.1 kg COD/m³-d in both regimes, and acetate and propionate were the major organic acids detected. Moreover, higher amounts of total organic acid (482-918 mg COD/L) were observed at OLRs of 4.6-6.1 kg COD/m³-d under mesophilic conditions, while total organic acid concentrations of 256-726 mg COD/L were observed at the same OLR range under thermophilic conditions. Thus, the accumulation of propionate led to failure of the mesophilic reactor since the conversion of acetate from propionate is a limiting step in the anaerobic digestion process [24, 25]. The appearance of formic acid and lactic acid was observed at a higher OLR of 6.1 kg COD/m³-d. In contrast, n-butyric acid was not detected throughout the operation. These findings indicated that increasing the organic loading rate resulted in aceto genesis rather than methanogenesis and led to lower production performances. Moreover, these findings indicate that accumulation of acids should be avoided to enable better production performances.

4. Conclusions

In this study, the long term evaluation of conversion of food waste to methane was demonstrated at various HRTs and OLRs under thermophilic and mesophilic conditions. The results revealed that increasing the OLR increased the methane production performance under both mesophilic and thermophilic conditions. Better productivities were attained under thermophilic conditions because of the efficient hydrolysis of food waste at elevated temperatures. The results of this study demonstrated that food waste could act as a feasible feedstock for the bioenergy production.
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